BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application for Interim Rate Increase for Willow Creek Water Company.

Docket No. 13-2506-01

HEARING

TAKEN AT: Heber M. Wells Building

160 East 300 South, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Wednesday, March 20, 2013 DATE:

TIME: 9:00 a.m. to 10:45 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Michelle Mallonee, RPR

	1.039
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	HEARING OFFICER
4	Melanie Reif
5	
6	For Willow Creek Water Company:
7	STEVEN C. TAYLOR
8	Secretary to the Board of Directors
9	Willow Creek Water Company
10	
11	ALTON VEIBELL
12	Vice President
13	Willow Creek Water Company
14	
15	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
16	PATRICIA SCHMID, ESQ.
17	UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
18	160 East 300 South
19	5th Floor
20	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
21	
22	MARK LONG
23	Utility Analyst
24	
25	

4	Hearing 03/20/13)		
1	INDEX			
2	WITNESS PAGE			
3	STEVEN C. TAYLOR			
4	Testimony	8		
5	Cross-Examination by the Court	15		
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Schmid	19		
7	MARK LONG			
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Schmid	45		
9	Cross-Examination by the Court	56		
10				
11	EXHIBITS			
12	(None)			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	<u>Hearing</u>
2	March 20, 2013
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	THE COURT: We'll go on the record.
5	MR. TAYLOR: He can't hear you there.
6	THE COURT: Can you hear me now?
7	MR. VEIBELL: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: Welcome, everybody. I'm Melanie
9	Reif, the Administrative Law Judge for the Utah Public Service
10	Commission. And this morning, this is the interim rate increase
11	hearing for the Docket 13-2506-01, which is entitled, "In the
12	Matter of the Application of Willow Creek Water Company for
13	General Rate Increase."
14	And I'd like to start this morning by taking
15	appearances, which is the part of the hearing where each party
16	identifies him or herself. And I'd like to start with the applicant,
17	please.
18	MR. VEIBELL: Alton Veibell from Willow Creek
19	Water Company. I'm vice president.
20	MR. TAYLOR: Steven Taylor, Willow Creek Water
21	Company board member, secretary.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	MS. SCHMID: Patricia E. Schmid with the Utah
24	Attorney General's Office representing the Division of Public
25	Utilities. And with me, as the Division's witness, is Mr. Mark

Long.

THE COURT: Very well. Welcome, everyone.

I wanted to make certain that the parties are aware that the Commission did receive on the 19th of March a filing by the Division, recommending that the interim rate increase be approved by the Commission. And I'm not sure if the applicant has received a copy of that.

MR. TAYLOR: We have.

THE COURT: Okay. Terrific. And as you are aware, Mr. Veibell and Mr. Taylor, by statute, the Commission has 45 days in which to review your application and to grant or deny the Request and should there be adequate prima facie showing that the interim rate increase is justified. In this case, you've asked for an increase, so I don't mention the decrease issues. But in some instances, there is a decrease issue. So what I'd like to do is give you this opportunity to present your case.

And will either of you be testifying on behalf of the water company--in as much as you are presenting information, that sort of thing?

MR. TAYLOR: In answer to questions, and that, we can. We didn't have an actual case to present, other than the documents that we've already submitted through the process.

Do you want us to go further than that?

THE COURT: Well, this is your case, so you get to

1	decide how you present it. And if I need to ask additional
2	questions, I can do that.
3	But, Mr. Taylor, are you planning to present the
4	application as it's been filed or what
5	MR. TAYLOR: That's correct.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And did you prepare this
7	filing?
8	MR. TAYLOR: Alton prepared a majority of the
9	filing in conjunction with Brent Ventura, who is our president of
10	the company.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. TAYLOR: And he was unable to be here today.
13	THE COURT: It would be the preference the
14	Commission that we take your presentation, your testimony,
15	under oath. That way we canyou would be subject to
16	cross-examination, if there's no objection to that. And you'd be
17	subject to questions, not only from the Division, but also from
18	the Commission. And that way we are assured that the record,
19	in as much as you are presenting it, is under oath and asserted
20	to be for the truth that it's asserted. Okay.
21	So in as much as you are both participating in the
22	hearing, and in as much as you're not represented by counsel,
23	I'll do my best to help explain things as we go along. But what
24	I'd like to do, because you are both going to be participating and

potentially answering questions and such, is I'd like to put you

1	both under oath. And if it turns out to be that one of you
2	doesn't answer anything, I just have a certainty that we've got it
3	all taken care of. So if you are fine with that, let's go ahead
4	with the oath.
5	And what I'd like to have you do is both raise your
6	right hand. And do you swear that the testimony that you are
7	about to give today is the truth?
8	MR. TAYLOR: Yes.
9	MR. VEIBELL: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Taylor, Mr.
11	Veibell. You may proceed and explain to the Commission why it
12	is you are seeking an interim rate increase and why that rate
13	increase should be granted.
14	STEVEN TAYLOR, having been first duly sworn,
15	testified as follows:
16	TESTIMONY
17	BY-MR.TAYLOR:
18	MR. TAYLOR: Make sure the light's on there.
19	I just wanted tobasically, we've had a rate in
20	place. And that rate, we have found, has beenwe have not
21	been able to cover all the costs. In our situation up there, Alton
22	primarily has run the water company and does a lot of services
23	and that for the water company without any billing or expense to
24	the water company.
25	Now, none of this rate is to pay Alton. That's not

our intention. Our intention is we've hired a water operator for our company. That water operator is going to be on a part-time basis. We based these rates on utilizing Grover Construction or other construction entities that have been involved in helping placements of meters and things like that when new individuals build. So we've placed those rates in effect to be able to adequately cover the costs associated with those to the best of our ability. So that's how we came up with most of these rates.

developed a water system that has the ability to service those lots. And so we've asked-in the rate structure, we have a stand-by fee that would help us cover our expenses, you know, for the company--those people

We also have several lots that have sold. We've

that might build a year later or two years later, or whatever.

The connection fees to this point, there's--and I'll share this with you--there's a-- my profession is a real estate agent. And we do what we call a lot of "comparative market analysis." And as we look at all the lots on the west bench of the Cache Valley, many of the lots that are in the rural areas have to come in and drill a well and obtain water rights and so on to service their lots. And so our lots are equally priced to those lots. But when somebody comes over to this lot, they're paying another 10- to 12- to \$15,000, depending on--to obtain water service for that property.

And on our lots, we've been contributing \$5000 to

-
the water company to run the water company. So to this point,
it's been kind of supported by the developer, so to speak.
Alton's up in age, and somebody else might own these
properties some day. And our point was to try to substantiate
this water company and establish it so that it could go forward
and be successful and be able to support all of its financial
commitments when Alton's not there to fix a water break or to
take care of those things. So that's our purpose and our reason
for coming in for a rate case.
We have reviewed this with our rate board, which
are five members of the community

are five members of the community-I'm sorry, four members of the community-basically by providing all of the financials, what types of
expenses have been involved. And then we've also shared what
Alton has done beyond what appears on our balance sheets and
our profit-and-loss statement as a company. And they've
agreed to our rate increase and said that they feel that it's
important that we do increase our rates so that we can get a
reserve in place.

To this point, we have virtually no reserves. If we were to have a major problem, such as a pump going out or something like that, we would have to go to each water user and ask them for a contribution. And we want to try to avoid that. We'd like to establish a reasonable reserve that would allow us to be able to continually service in the Willow Creek area.

We see this water district as potentially a district that could even serve a greater area than what we're doing right now. There are people that are just on the outskirts that have said, "Boy, we'd like to be involved in the water company." So we feel we need to have a solid rate in place so if we do extend the line, based on a decision, that they would pay out an appropriate connection fee to offset those costs by coming in and participating in the water company.

So there's a whole lot of factors involved in this rate decision, I guess, that we're trying to come to.

And do you have anything to add to that, Alton?

MR. VEIBELL: I don't believe so. I think you've covered it pretty well.

MR. TAYLOR: So if you have questions-- did you want me to address each individual rate to give you some feedback on that? We do have our documentation here that we can--if you have specific questions. But the new rates--as you'll notice, there were several that were not--we had a turn-off fee. We don't always have people turning off fees, but we put a fee in there on the turn off, that if we had an operator and he had to go turn off a property, we could then cover those expenses.

Transfer of ownership, we've never charged anything for that. But in the future, our lots--the way we're structured in Willow Creek is every property gets an amount of water. And it is--it goes with that property. So when a new

owner comes in place, there's some title work that has to be done to transfer the ownership of that water with the property to the new owner. And so this is to cover title work and the expenses associated with that.

The unwarranted service call, we don't have a lot of unwarranted service calls. When I say that, Alton does most of the service calls, but there's not a tremendous number of those. But we put a fee in for an unwarranted service call.

The fire hydrant deposit is for--we have only one individual who provides a commercial service of hydroseeding to Cache Valley area. And that deposit is for the--to this point, we've had him take his water out of a fire hydrant to fill his vehicle. He uses large volumes of water, and we've charged him so much a thousand gallons. And a \$100 deposit is what we were going to charge him for having that metered. But we're going to push him towards if he's going to do that, maybe putting a commercial connection on his property for security and safety reasons so that we--the anti-siphon valves that are in place to keep impurities from coming back into our system, and that. We don't feel it's a safe means to be taking it out of our five hydrant system, nor good for that system.

And the late fee is just strictly for billing purposes.

Most people pay their bills on time. It's a small community. But just in case they don't, as we get bigger, we wanted to have, you know, a late fee in there.

So the other major component of this: To date, a 1 2 lot typically gets 293,000 gallons of water annually. And 3 although we read the meters each month, at the end of the year, 4 we kind of sum things up. And we used to charge a dollar per 5 thousand gallons over the 293,000 gallons. But it's really not feasible. We found that as we have a lot of new homeowners 6 7 up there and they are planting grass, so on and so forth, they 8 literally emptied our 250,000 gallon tank watering their lawns 9 and taking care of things. I mean, we were continually filling it 10 back up, costing us quite a bit in electrical costs for pumping 11 and that. So we've changed our structure a little bit to 12,000 12 13 gallons being delivered in a month for the fee, and a \$1.50 per 14 thousand gallons over each month. We feel that that will give 15 us sufficient revenues, based on what we've looked at to just 16 take care of all of our service and maintenance needs on our 17 water company. 18 So I think those are all the major points. And then 19 on the commercial, we kind of mirrored the other because we 20 don't think we're going to have too many commercial entities out 21 there. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. 23 Anything further, Mr. Veibell?

MR. VEIBELL: I believe he's pretty well covered it

24

25

pretty well.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 2 Patricia, do you have any questions? 3 MS. SCHMID: I do just, perhaps, for clarification, if 4 that's all right. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY-MS.SCHMID: 8 As you know, the rate case process takes a few Q. 9 months. And the process must be concluded before final rates 10 are approved by the Commission, and then the Company is able 11 to put them in place. Today we're here for an interim rate 12 approval. And those rates would be in effect until the final rates 13 are approved by the Commission. 14 Would it benefit Willow Creek if the interim rates 15 were approved today or soon? Would it help Willow Creek with 16 its ongoing expenses? 17 Α. I think extensive--yes, it would very much, so. 18 Right now, we rely on revenues of--we get a monthly billing that 19 comes in. And, of course, we get a monthly--and it covers some 20 of the expenses. But our--we rely on the next lot sale to bring 21 some revenue in to take care of any major things that we need 22 to take care of. And sometimes we have to sit there and wait 23 for a period of time. Our revenues dip quite low often through 24 the process. So yes, a rate increase would make a significant

difference of how Willow Creek operated.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2

BY MS. SCHMID:

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Q. Willow Creek also is initiating or has initiated an arsenic control or remediation program. Can you explain that just a bit?

Α. Yes. For many years, and because we were underfunded, we've approached the Drinking Water Quality folks here in the state. And we had talked about what we could do to mitigate the arsenic levels that are in our current well. And they gave us a grant a year ago now?

MR. VEIBELL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: About a year ago. And that grant allowed us to drill a new well. And when we finished testing that, we found out it was fully arsenic free. And so prior to that, we would have had to invest in a significant arsenic mitigation system, which included medium and a lot of mechanical equipment in our well house that would have had to be maintained at a greater level of maintenance involved and greater cost to Willow Creek. And by drilling this new well and finding this, we can now do a blended water program that would be approved by the Drinking Water Quality that would give us full--you know, that relief of arsenic in the system. It would actually--by blending the two water sources, it reduces the arsenic level on parts per billion or million, or whatever the reference is. But it would reduce that.

1	So this is also taking that into account. We
2	wouldn't havewe'd have to have a much larger rate increase if
3	we had to go the mechanical system because you are replacing
4	medium and you're doing a lot of other things and having to
5	monitor that system, where all we have to do is have a pumping
6	timer that blends the water for us. So it's actually a much more
7	cost-effective process for us.
8	BY MS. SCHMID:
9	Q. Were the funds distributed by Drinking Water as a
0	loan rather than a grant?
1	A. There are two. The first was a grant, and it was
2	68,000?
3	MR. VEIBELL: No, 49,000.
4	THE WITNESS: Oh, it was only 49?
5	MR. VEIBELL: Yeah.
6	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. He's closer to the
7	books than I am. It was \$49,000. And that allowed us to drill
8	the well.
9	And once we identified it, they had us come back
20	with an entirewe kept coming back in little pieces. And they
21	said, "You need to come back with a full plan." So we had an
22	engineer draw a plan. We went through everything. We looked
23	it over in detail. They have granted uswhen I say "granted,"
24	it's not a grant. They have offered us a \$225,000 interest-free

loan. That loan will allow us to place a generator, retrofit the

wen, he it into the system, and take care or an the source
protection needs and everything for this to ensure quality
drinking water, well into the future. And have the ability to
service it, even in a power-outage situation, and that.
BY MS. SCHMID:
Q. And the requested rates have been designed to
repay that
A. Yes.
Qzero interest loan?
A. To offset and repay as well. Yeah, it also includes
that, plus thethat's right.
Q. And I have just, I think, perhaps one or two more
questions, if I may.
In the Division's recommendation regarding the
interim rate increase, the Division requests that the interim rate
increase be approved. However, if the final rates are higher,
the Company is not allowed to collect the difference between
when the interim rates are approved and when the final rates
are approved. Does the Company understand that?
A. Yeah. In the letter that came outI believe this is
the same letterI read that. And we do understand that, that
there may be you know, wherever we settle, that would then
be the rate that goes forward after that time.
Q. And does the Company also understand that if the
final rates are higher than the interimthe final rates are lower

1	than the interim rates approved, then the Company would then		
2	owe the customers a refund?		
3	A. Yes, we understand that.		
4	Q. Those are all my clarifying questions.		
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schmid.		
6	I, too, have a few questions, gentlemen.		
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
8	BY-THE COURT:		
9	Q. To begin with, you mentioned that you are working		
10	to establish a reasonable reserve.		
11	What is your goal regarding the reserve? What		
12	dollar figure are you hoping to		
13	A. Let melet's pull your charts out right here. Just so		
14	I can give you the right numbers, I just need to look at some		
15	data real fast. Sorry for the delay. Oh, here we are. Here's		
16	part of them.		
17	In 2013, we look at the capital reserve in our		
18	savings account, based onwe have an actual spreadsheet for		
19	'13, '14, '15, and '16. And each one of them, they identify the		
20	electricity, the chlorine, the maintenance, and so on, all the way		
21	through, and the loan repayment for our arsenic project, and so		
22	on. The capital reserves and savings at the end of 2013, if our		
23	rates were in place today, we would see about \$7320 in		
24	reserves. And that'soh, here's '15. Okay. Sorry.		
25	By the year 2015, and going forward without any		

1	changes, we see thatI'm sorry, that's not truewith changes.	
2	We see that there may be additional growth through those years	
3	of new customers, some lots on standby, et cetera. By 2015,	
4	the reserves on that would be \$37,320.	
5	Q. Thank you. Is it correct that you have 22	
6	customers?	
7	A. That'syes.	
8	MR. VEIBELL: I didn't hear.	
9	THE WITNESS: Number of customers. Aren't we	
10	at 22 now?	
11	MR. VEIBELL: Yeah, we're at 22.	
12	THE WITNESS: One other caveat. There's 22	
13	customers, but we're counting on there's nine what we term	
14	"standby customers" that have purchased property but have not	
15	built a structure yet. So, therefore, they're not utilizing the	
16	services. But the service runs in front of their property ready to	
17	be attached.	
18	BY THE COURT:	
19	Q. Thank you. Of the 22 customers that you have,	
20	how many of those are residential customers?	
21	A. All of them are residential. One of them is	
22	residential, plus he takes commercial services out of a fire	
23	hydrant.	
24	Q. Are your customers, the 22 who are currently	
25	receiving residential and commercial service and the nine	

I	standby cus	stomers, are they aware of the rate increase that
2	you've requ	ested?
3	Α.	Yes. We just recently sent out in the billing a
4	statement	and I should have brought you a copy of that. But I
5	will send a	copy immediately back up. We did send out a letter
6	from the Wi	llow Creek Water Company. In there, we stated the
7	requested r	ate increase numbers. So they saw those numbers
8	specifically	. We shared the website for the Public Service
9	Commission	n and referenced the docket numbers that if they
10	could follow	that rate case through, and asked them to be
11	involved an	d told them we'd love their input if they had input on
12	the matter.	So we did send out a letter. And I will provide that
13	copy to Mar	k Long. And if you would like a copy, I'll send it.
14	Q.	Thank you, sir. You're welcome to supplement the
15	record with	that.
16	Α.	Okay.
17	Q.	Could youI don't think you gave a precise date.
18	Could you t	ell me
19	Α.	The date that we sent that?
20	Q.	Yes, sir.
21	Α.	It was the day before yesterday. It was written on
22	the 18 th .	
23	Q.	Okay. Do youwas that sent through U.S. mail?
24	Α.	Uh-huh.
25	Q.	So it could be that they may not be getting the

1	notice until today?	
2	A. That's probablythat could be factual.	
3	Q. Okay. So at this very moment, they may not l	nave
4	actual notice of this proceeding?	
5	A. Yeah. I didn't reference the proceeding, I on	ly
6	referenced the website. I didn't reference the interim date	es or
7	anything.	
8	Q. Did you reference the hearingthis hearing?	
9	A. No, not in that letter.	
10	Q. Okay. You may have a copy of this before yo	u. On
11	March 13, 2013, the Commission, subsequent to our sche	duling
2	meeting, issued a scheduling order and notices of hearing	S.
13	And if you flip to page 2, about the middle of the page it	states
14	that, "No later than Company's March 2013 billing cycle,	
15	Company is instructed to provide notice to its customers	of the
16	interim rate increase hearing noted above."	
17	A. And we sent that out with the March 2000 (s	sic)
18	billing cycle.	
19	Q. Okay. Which went out again	
20	Aon the 18 th .	
21	Qon the 18 th .	
22	A. Uh-huh. And that was included in the bill to	each
23	end user. The only ones that may not have received tha	t notice
24	we will have to notify them separately, arebecause we	don't

bill them--

1	are those t	hat ow
2	time.	
3	Q.	Tha
4	Α.	Yea
5	because we	e don'
6	never comr	nunic
7	Q.	Oka
8	have provid	ded pr
9	if you sent	it out
10	becoming a	aware
11	business d	ays fo
12	question: \	Would
13	made that v	would
14	Α.	pr
15	Q.	th
16	Commissio	n was
17	Α.	The
18	would be w	e hav
19	board, who	are fo
20	communica	ited.
21	asking que	stions
22	those rate l	board
23	and showe	d then
24	Q.	Did
25	all of your o	custor

are those that own lots that don't have service with us at this time.

- Q. That are currently on standby?
- A. Yeah, standby. Well, they're not on standby because we don't charge them anything for standby. So we never communicate with them at all.
- Q. Okay. Is there any other notice that you would have provided prior to March 18, 2013? The concern being that if you sent it out on March 18th, very likely they are not becoming aware of it until today, assuming it takes two to three business days for mail to move about. And so hence my question: Would there be any other communication that you made that would have been--
 - A. --prior to that date, yeah.
- Q. --that would have met the spirit of what the Commission was trying to accomplish?
- A. The only thing that we can put in that category would be we have had three meetings with our interim rate board, who are four of the users on the system, who have communicated. I mean, because Alton's had calls from people asking questions about it and whatnot. So we've had four of those rate board meetings and had all the information for them and showed them exactly what was being submitted.
- Q. Did you send notices of your rate board meeting to all of your customers?

1	THE WITNESS: Did you include anything in the		
2	bills?		
3	MR. VEIBELL: No, huh-uh.		
4	THE WITNESS: No. Not an official		
5	statement, no.		
6	BY THE COURT:		
7	Q. But you do believe that some of the customers were		
8	involved in those meetings, but not necessarily all of them were		
9	put on notice of the meeting?		
10	A. That's an accurate statement.		
11	MR. VEIBELL: Just the four rate board members.		
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, just the four rate board		
13	members. We communicated with the four rate board members,		
14	and we know they've communicated out because we've also had		
15	communication back to us. But in all fairness, I don't think,		
16	structurally, they would have a full understanding of everything,		
17	probably, until they get this next memo that we sent out.		
18	BY THE COURT:		
19	Q. Okay. Let's put aside the issue that we're		
20	discussing right now for the moment.		
21	And I want to go to the next sentence in the		
22	scheduling order, which requires and states specifically that,		
23	"No later than Company's June 2013 and July 2013 billing		
24	cycles, Company is instructed to provide notice to its customers		
25	of the general rate increase hearing noted above."		

1	Α.	Okay.		
2	Q.	So I note that for your reference to help you		
3	prepare for the next hearing, so that when we get to this setting			
4	again			
5	Α.	we'll bring that information as completed.		
6	Q.	My hope is that it will be completed well in		
7	advance.			
8	Α.	Right.		
9	Q.	Such thatmy concern right now is that there may		
10	be customers who do not have the notice that they should have			
11	to give them fair public opportunity to appear. So that's a			
12	separate issue that the Commission will address at some point			
13	during this hearing.			
14		Yes, sir?		
15	Α.	Just an input. I think we understand that now and		
16	should have been more diligent about it as far as its timing. I			
17	can state that in April, May, June, we'll make notices in each			
18	billing cycle of this rate and everything all the way through. So			
19	we'll provide documentation and updates in each monthly billing			
20	going forwa	ırd.		
21	Q.	Okay. And the other important point being that the		
22	customers are notified of the hearing.			
23	Α.	Okay.		
24	Q.	So as you look at the scheduling order, we have the		
25	interim rate hearing today, which we're doing now. We have the			

	116dring 00/20/10
1	general rate increase hearing, which is occurring on August 1,
2	that morning. And later that day, we have the public witness
3	hearing. So those two future hearings, you'll want to absolutely
4	include when you make your customers known of this pending
5	issue.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. And I do have some other questions. Give me one
8	moment, please, while I look at my notes.
9	Mr. Veibell and Mr. Taylor, on page 3 of your
10	submission entitled, "Application for Interim Rate Increase," the
11	table that's presented states
12	and I'm looking at the commercial rate, the second commercial
13	rate. It says, "Commercial fixed-system fees, maximum 293,274
14	gallons per year." And next to it, it says, "None." And you're
15	wishing to change that to \$1.50 based on 1000 gallons over the
16	12,000-gallon per month limitation that's addressed in the
17	section above that.
18	I'm trying to determinethere was a submission tha
19	I mentioned early on that was submitted by the Divisionand,
20	again, the Commission received this yesterdaythat suggests
21	that that current amount is presently set at a dollar. Is that
22	correct, or is that a typo?
23	A. It was at a dollar. But let me clarify a point for you

Q. Okay.

24

25

A. The commercial fee has been strictly a dollar per

1	thousand gallons of usage. He doesn't truly own a right of water			
2	and doesn't have a connection, other than off of a fire hydrant.			
3	So it's, from Day 1, the first gallonthe first thousand gallons he			
4	pays a dollar for, the second thousand, and so on.			
5	So this "293,000 gallons" was written in error. He			
6	really doesn't own a water right. He just merely takes water out			
7	of the fire hydrant and we charge him the first thousand gallons			
8	on.			
9	Q. So when looking at your submission, if we were to			
10	delete the reference under the word, "Commercial," "Fix-system			
11	fees, maximum of 293,274 gallons per year," and also eliminate			
12	the word "None" and just leave it as, "This requested rate for			
13	residential is now \$1.50," would that be a better reflection of			
14	what your application is seeking?			
15	A. It would be. Because as I look at this right now,			
16	we've kind of copied down. There was no commercial service			
17	and no commercial rate structure in place. So that would be a			
18	better reflection.			
19	Q. Okay. And would it beI'm going to help you along			
20	a little bit since you don't have counsel.			
21	By the way, you do understand that you have the			
22	right to counsel?			
23	A. Right, we do.			
24	Q. You just choose to represent yourself. Is that			
25	correct?			

1	A. Correct.				
2	Q. So would it be your position that the application be	So would it be your position that the application be			
3	amended with those changes that we've just outlined?				
4	A. I think that would be more accurate by far.				
5	Q. Okay.				
6	A. As I stated, there were no commercial services to				
7	date, other than selling the water off of the fire hydrant off of a				
8	meter.				
9	Q. Okay.				
10	A. And so we want it to be more formal in that				
11	commercial application.				
12	Q. Okay. Would there be any other changes in the				
13	application, as it's presented, that you think would be				
14	necessary, other than the two that we've just noted?				
15	THE WITNESS: Do you see any changes?				
16	MR. VEIBELL: I don't believe so.				
17	(A discussion between Mr. Taylor and Mr. Veibell was held off				
18	the record.)				
19	THE WITNESS: No, I think it would stand.				
20	BY THE COURT:				
21	Q. Okay. Very good. Again, helping you along a little				
22	bit. The Commission is going to take judicial notice of this				
23	application, this application, which is entitled, "Application for				
24	Interim Rate Increase." And it was received by the Commission				
25	on February 14, 2013, with the changes noted				

assuming no objection from the Division. 1 2 I hear no objection. 3 MS. SCHMID: No objection. 4 BY THE COURT: 5 Q. And going to the issue of the reasonableness of 6 your request. In paragraph 6 on page 3, just below your table 7 that we were just discussing. 8 Α. Okay. 9 You make a number of representations, and I'll just Q. 10 read what this says. It says, "Willow Creek's requested interim 11 rate increase is justified and reasonable and will allow Willow 12 Creek to operate at a net gain, meet current obligations, be 13 self-sustaining, and build capital reserves for future repairs and 14 replacement of capital facilities until the Commission can issue 15 a final order in the general rate case." 16 Is that a true statement? 17 Α. I feel it is accurate. 18 Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about the capital 19 reserve issue, and you've explained to me about how you are 20 attempting to build that. 21 Could you help me understand the other aspects 22 that are listed here about the operating at a net gain? Do you 23 know that to be a fact, that if these rates are put into place, that 24 you will now be able to operate at a net gain and meet your

current obligations and be self-sustaining? Or is that a longer

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

term goal as these rates are sustained, assuming that the Commission approves them in the final rate case?

- A. It's my opinion--and I'll share this and you can jump in if you want any time, Alton--that yes, it would put us into--I think it's over time. Because, for instance, if we lost a pump today, we would not be able to handle it as a water company. We wouldn't have the reserves in place and so on.
- Q. What would you estimate a pump would cost if you lost one and needed to replace it?

THE WITNESS: What's the cost of our new pump?

MR. VEIBELL: Seven thousand and something.

THE WITNESS: About \$7000 just for a pump.

We have in place two pumps on our 400-foot well, and we'll be placing a new pump on our 1000 foot well. So there's a potential of three pumps at any time potentially having some type of a problem. And there's a lot of other infrastructure, not just those pumps. We have--in our water system, we have two pressure pumps that the water system--there's a section that goes up in elevation. And so in order to provide those individuals with adequate service, we have two pressurization pumps that also--so we have a total of five pumps just within our system that we work with. So at any time, if any one or two--and we don't expect that to happen. I mean, they've been wonderful to us so far. But if one of those pumps goes out, our current pumps are ten-plus years old. We

would be in a situation where we couldn't ... 1 2 So to answer your question more effectively, I see 3 us positioning ourselves with this rate increase to be more 4 viable and appropriate as the years go on, 2013, '14. By 2014, 5 yes, we should be in a position to take care of our financial 6 needs without a problem. Prior to that, we still may be having to 7 rely on, you know, the users of the company if there was a 8 major maintenance issue. 9 Q. What's the life expectancy of a pump? 10 THE WITNESS: What do you think, Alton? 11 MR. VEIBELL: They usually say about-- it kind of 12 depends. Now, we replaced one in the old well. Of course, it 13 had been there about 20 years before it went out. They say 14 anywhere from seven to ten years. 15 THE WITNESS: And let me clarify a reference 16 there on that being around 20 years. When it was there for 20 17 years, there were four or less users on the company. 18 MR. VEIBELL: Yes. 19 THE WITNESS: You know. 20 BY THE COURT: 21 Q. Okay. 22 Α. And so it was there for quite a while. Now we have 23 22 users. And I would say exponentially that may be a different 24 number. So the pumps that you have are all on the extended 25 Q.

side of their life expectancy? 1 2 Α. Well, the two in the 400-foot well are. The one that 3 will be placed in the new well will be brand new through this 4 loan process that we talked about from Drinking Water Quality. 5 And the two primary pressure pumps are, what, eight years old? 6 MR. VEIBELL: No, they're not that old. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 MR. VEIBELL: Those pressure ones, they're only 9 about three years old. 10 THE WITNESS: About three years old. They're 11 three years old. 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Okay. Help me understand, given the age of the Q. 14 facilities and the lack of reserve that you currently have in 15 place, is there a reason why you didn't seek a larger rate 16 increase from an interim standpoint? 17 Α. Well, our rate board was involved in the rate 18 decision. And as we looked at it, there has been--and let me 19 take you to the development side for a minute. Basically, there's 20 three subdivisions up there that new people are moving into and 21 building homes. And to this point, the developers paid for and 22 built all of the infrastructure necessary to connect to the water 23 company and then donated those infrastructures to the water 24 company.

25

The--restate your question one more time to make

1	sure I'm on track.				
2	Q.	I'm trying to better understand, given the age of the			
3	facilities				
4	Α.	Right.			
5	Q.	and the potential for you to have to replace			
6	additional pumps in the very near future, why was the requested				
7	rate increase not, perhaps, made at a larger amount? Also				
8	considering that there is no reserve in place.				
9	Α.	Right. As we stated earlier, every time a lot is			
10	sold, the de	eveloper contributes an amount to the water			
11	company, which has been ongoing. That amount has been				
12	utilized to sustain the water company. And once all the lots are				
13	sold, that sustainment of the water company from that				
14	standpoint is going to disappear.				
15	Q.	How much is that number?			
16	Α.	It's \$5000 per lot.			
17	Q.	And how many lots are left unsold?			
18	Α.	Nine lots, nine current lots.			
19	Q.	And what's the market like in this area?			
20	Α.	Well, last year we didn't sell any lots at all. This			
21	year, we hope to sell a few. We think three to four a year,				
22	probably.				
23	Q.	Have you actually closed on any in 2013?			
24	Α.	One. One \$30,000 lot.			
25	Q.	Okay. So back to my original question.			

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- A. Umm-hmm.
- Q. Given the circumstances--
- A. Right.
- Q. --why not seek a greater increase?
- A. Well, we think there probably should be a greater increase. But our rate board basically said, you know, to us, "Boy, that seems pretty hefty." We were going from 38 to 49. And we did our numbers and our spreadsheet, and we felt, you know, if we could at least do \$49 or \$50 in that range, we could meet our expenses and have--actually, the reserves would grow a little slower. But we felt ...
- Q. Would you be able to meet your expenses on an ongoing basis? Or would it be that you would be in arrears for a while and then as your reserves grow, you then are able to pay those obligations off?
- A. I would say we'd be in arrears. And basically, the arrears end up being out of Alton's pocketbook. And that's exactly what happens. He subsidizes pretty much anything that takes place up there. And what I mean by that is we'll have a balance in the checking account, maybe a thousand dollars or something. If we have a water break, if we were to bring Grover Construction in to fix that water break if Alton was not there, that water break may cost us, you know, \$1200. Or, I mean, it could deplete all the funds in the account. He goes out and fixes it and doesn't charge anything for it.

And so in our current rate structure, we would always be in arrears. I mean, that's the fact. And as he prepared for the new well, we didn't have funds within the water company--there is some money that's being loaned to us, but we haven't finalized that loan yet. So as we prepared and drilled and took care of that, you know, he's probably put out \$7000 of his own money that would be a water company expense to offset what's going on up there. So I'm just being straightforward with you so you know.

Q. And that's exactly what I expect. So thank you.

A. Yeah. So, yes. In answer to your question, on the existing rates versus these new rates, I believe these new rates and how we've planned them and set budgets up for all of the preceding years, '13, '14, '15, '16, I believe that if we were to establish the rates as we've proposed them, that we will have an adequate--our maintenance and services will all be taken care of, costs, electricity, and so on--and the repayment of the loan. But our reserve will grow slow over those 13 years. And we'd still be in a position of responsibility, if you will, to maybe augment or offset some costs. And it may have to go over all the members of the water company if something went wrong in the first couple of years. I hope that answered what you were ...

Q. Thank you. I appreciate that additional information.

THE COURT: And Mr. Veibell, this might be

addressed by you. And, Mr. Taylor, you are welcome to respond, too.

Presently--and this goes not only to this issue, but to the greater general rate increase, which we'll eventually hear. What I would like to know is: Is Willow Creek Water Company making any profit whatsoever at this point in time?

MR. VEIBELL: Well, right now, when we sold this here other lot this year, we had put 5000 in there. And we have a little over \$8000 with that right now. So we're making a little bit of headway, even with leaving it at that \$38. But we're going to be in trouble if something happens. So we need this extra rate increase in order to build that up faster.

Now, we do have promises of maybe another five lots selling this year. And if we keep that \$5000 in there, it's going to bring that rate up a little bit faster, but.

THE WITNESS: I do want to make two notes for you. Alton won't say any of this. I've been commissioned by his attorney to keep him out of trouble, and this is the exact statement: That \$8000 that's in there, if we go over on this side, Alton's contributed probably more than \$7000 of services and not billed the water company at all. That \$8000 would be nothing. It would not even be there. So he's made that choice. And he and I argue about this often.

This rate increase is not to augment Alton Veibell's pocketbook. It doesn't have anything to do with that. My

concern is Alton's on a pacemaker. Alton may not be here in a year or two. And if we have to hire Grover Construction or Rupp Construction or anybody else that we've ever used up there--Circle C--and pay for all the services that Alton provides, we would be desperately in need of financial assistance. I mean, when I say "desperate," we'd have to close our doors. We could not service at all and pay our electric bill and pay for our chlorine and pay for the water testing and everything that we need to. We couldn't do it. It would be done.

BY THE COURT:

Q. Okay. And Mr. Taylor, I appreciate your candor very much.

And just so you understand where I'm coming from, there's a balance between the ratepayer and the Company. And the Company is entitled to a reasonable profit. And what I'm hearing is that things are on the other end of the scale. And I'm sure that that will be developed more fully during your general rate case. And I certainly hope that it will be. Because the way that the statute is constructed for public utilities, and because you are under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission, that's something that we look at when issuing a rate increase.

And I know that you have a number of things that you are trying to balance, you know. "Well, is this too much?" Or, "Are the customers going to be happy?" That sort of thing. But there are other factors to be taken into account as well.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

25

Α.	Can I	aive	one	other	note?
<i>,</i>	O G : : :	9.00	\circ	0 (1101	

Q. Yes.

A. There are lots of other factors. Alton will err on the side of all those factors. And what I mean by this is he lives with all those folks up there. And he's very closely related to all of them. So even Alton will say, "Oh, no. No. We didn't go that high. Let's not do it," you know, to the point of, if we're looking at this, are we profitably running Willow Creek Water Company and are we able to sustain the necessary elements of Willow Creek Water Company? And I hope that through this process, we come to that conclusion appropriately and make sure.

And I think we've done some good homework to do that. But I just--I mention that because too often--this has been his dream, more or less, for years. He doesn't--you can look through the books. Anywhere you want to look through the books, he will not write himself a check unless he's had seven points of authorization from everybody else for anything. So it's not his personal checkbook by any means. He handles it very professionally. And, you know, I just want that to go on record, because he's--it's very positive.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Taylor.

That's very commendable, Mr. Veibell.

And with respect to something you mentioned earlier about hiring someone, this is not necessarily pertinent to this part of the hearing. But I'm assuming that that is not Mr.

1	Veibell, that's a different individual?
2	A. Yes. We're making preparations to have this water
3	company stand on its two feet. And there is a gentleman that
4	provides services to many water companies throughout the
5	Cache Valley. And we actually paid for his certification in the
6	water.
7	THE WITNESS: What is the certification called,
8	Alton?
9	MR. VEIBELL: Well, it's just a certified operator.
10	THE WITNESS: He's a certified water operator. So
11	we paid for him to be certified. He's passed that certification.
12	Basically, there's many times where tests need to be taken and
13	so on. And Alton's been doing that on his own. We're trying to
14	integrate this individual to get an understanding of our company,
15	what needs to happen on a regular basis to make sure we're
16	within checks and balances of drinking water quality, and that.
17	And he is following through with that. And we just need
18	somebody in place to be sure our company functions properly.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Taylor, Mr. Veibell, is
20	there anything else that you would like to add?
21	MR. VEIBELL: I don't believe so.
22	MR. TAYLOR: I thing we're fine. Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	Ms. Schmid, I know that you wish to address your
25	position in this matter. One thing that I would like you to

1	address is the issue of notice, given what we've heard today.
2	MS. SCHMID: May I address that after the Division
3	has presented its
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	MS. SCHMID:evidence?
6	The Division would like to call Mr. Mark Long as its
7	witness. Could he please be sworn?
8	THE COURT: Mr. Long, would you please raise
9	your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you are about
10	to give today is the truth?
11	MR. LONG: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed.
13	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
14	MARK LONG, having been first duly sworn, was
15	examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY-MS.SCHMID:
18	Q. Mr. Long, by whom are you employed? In what
19	capacity? And what is your business address?
20	A. I'm employed by the State of Utah, Department of
21	Commerce, Division of Public Utilities. My title is Utility Analyst.
22	I work at 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84120.
23	Q. On behalf of the Division, have you participated in
24	this docket?
25	A. Yes, I have.

1	Q.	Did you prepare a memorandum dated March 20,
2	entitled, "Ir	the Matter of the Application for Interim Rate
3	Increase fo	r Willow Creek Water Company," Docket No.
4	13-2506-01	and cause that to be filed with the Commission and
5	provided to	the parties?
6	Α.	Yes, I did.
7	Q.	Do you have any changes or corrections that you
8	would like t	o make to that memorandum?
9	Α.	Yes. I believe the memorandum was dated March
10	20. It was a	actually filed on March 19.
11	Q.	So you'd like to change the date to March 19?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	With that correction, do you adopt the memorandum
14	as your tes	timony?
15	Α.	Yes, I do.
16	Q.	The Division would like to request admission of the
17	memorandı	um with the correct date of March 19, 2013, entitled,
18	"In the Mat	ter of the Application for Interim Rate Increase for
19	Willow Cre	ek Water Company," Docket 13-2506-01.
20		THE COURT: Any objection?
21		MR. TAYLOR: (Mr. Taylor shook his head in the
22	negative.)	
23		THE COURT: Ms. Schmid, before taking this into
24	evidence, l	want to make one bit of clarification that we made
25	with respec	t to the table that was part of the application. It is

the applicant's position that they don't currently charge a rate for the commercial, the usage per 1000 gallons over 12,000 per month.

MS. SCHMID: It is the Division's belief that that was a typo in the application and that the dollar fee is actually charged. And it is presented as such on page 2 of the Division's memorandum.

THE COURT: Yes. And I see that, which actually precipitated my question to the applicant. So I think we need to come to an understanding of which it is. And if you'll gave me just a moment.

Mr. Taylor and Mr. Veibell, there's just a little bit of clarification that needs to be made. Previously, I took judicial notice of your application for interim rate increase with the corrections being the elimination of the "Commercial" reference, the "Fixed-system fees, maximum 293,274 gallons per year," at a fee of "None." And the Division presents it slightly differently in their presentation. I'm just trying to best understand what would be a correct reflection of what's actually occurring.

MR. TAYLOR: A correct reflection under current rates would be "Commercial." And where it says "\$38," we charge nothing. There's nothing in there currently. So we're not charging the \$38, and this fixed-fee maximum of 293,000 gallons is not being charged. But it is accurate under "Commercial," the usage per 1000 gallons is charged at \$1.

1	Everything after that, where it says, "Over 293 per year" should
2	be struck. It was a typo. So the one client we have has a
3	commercial, adaptable fire hydrant meter. And when he plugs
4	that on, Alton reads that once a year. And whatever the number
5	of gallons he's utilized in that year, he charges him one dollar
6	per thousand.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Is it currently in your rates,
8	your tariff that's been approved with the Commission, that you
9	are able to charge \$38 for "Commercial" the "Fixed-system Fees
10	Maximum of 293,274 gallons per year"?
11	MR. TAYLOR: No. There's no commercial note in
12	our but we were just just so you know, we're calling it
13	"Commercial" Here. We were charging him the residential use
14	of a thousand gallons of \$1 per thousand. That's where we got
15	our charge from as he utilized it.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So
17	MR. TAYLOR: There really is no commercial rate in
18	our current structure at all for either one of those. And that's
19	why we're proposing it on the other side.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So if I understand this
21	correctly, looking at page 3 of your application under the
22	"Commercial Current Rates," you are proposing that the \$38 and
23	the reference in the box just to the left of it, the "Fixed-system
24	fees, maximum 293,274 gallons per year" be stricken because

you're not actually--that's not part of your rate system right now.

25

1	MR. TAYLOR: It's not really in our rate structure at
2	all.
3	THE COURT: But in the next line down, it would be
4	correct to say that you are charging \$1 currently for 1000
5	gallons over 293,274 per year.
6	MR. TAYLOR: Well, not over, just \$1 per thousand
7	gallons. But we based that off of the residential usage. And so,
8	in essence, he has a meter on his home
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MR. TAYLOR:that he's charged a separate rate
11	for. He has a meter he attaches to a hydrant. And so as he
12	takes water out of that hydrant, we charge him the \$1 per
13	thousand gallons.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. TAYLOR: Because we feel it's a separate
16	usage. And we don't have a usagewe didn't feel we could
17	charge him any more than \$1. And we don't have anything that
18	helped us with that in our rate.
19	And so that'sand we don't even have a deposit on
20	thehe owns the meter, doesn't he?
21	MR. VEIBELL: Yes, uh-huh.
22	MR. TAYLOR: He actually owns the meter. We
23	just track the reading on the meter.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So that brings me back to
25	what the Division filed. I thinkis the Divisionif we're all

1	tracking this, I think what I'd like to do is say that the reference
2	under the "Commercial," the \$38, and the reference before that
3	in the table to the left, the "Fixed-system fees," et cetera, et
4	cetera, that's listed there, should be stricken, according to the
5	testimony of the applicant. The \$1 should stay in the line
6	below. But it should be clarified that that is usage per 1000
7	gallons. So the "over 293,274 per year" should be stricken as
8	they are currently
9	MS. SCHMID: Yes.
10	MR. TAYLOR: Right.
11	THE COURT:explaining their situation.
12	MS. SCHMID: Yes. With the explanation that was
13	just provided, the Division would revise its memo as you just
14	stated.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. SCHMID: And then would request admission of
17	it.
18	THE COURT: Very good.
19	And gentlemen, are you fine with that?
20	MR. TAYLOR: We are.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Hearing no objection and
22	seeing that we now have consensus on both sides, it will bethe
23	Commission will take judicial notice of it, as it does the
24	corrections that have been made to the applicant's application
25	for interim rate increase.

1	MS. SCHMID: While we're on the subject, with the
2	judicial notice, then it is not required for the applicant to request
3	that its application be entered into the record and it is in the
4	record? Is that correct? Because I don't believe the applicant
5	has requested yet that the application be in the record.
6	THE COURT: I helped the applicant a little bit
7	earlier, being that they are not represented by counsel. And
8	their application, the Commission has taken judicial notice of it.
9	It does exist in the docket. It is part of the docket.
10	Does that help answer your question?
11	MS. SCHMID: It does, thank you.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MS. SCHMID: If I may get back to the Division.
14	THE COURT: Sure.
15	BY MS. SCHMID:
16	Q. Mr. Long, could you briefly summarize the
17	Division's recommendation regarding interim rates?
18	A. Yes. The Division recommends that the Public
19	Service Commission approve the interim rates and fees as filed
20	and requested in Willow Creek's application for an interim rate
21	increase. Although the interim rates appear to be less than
22	what we needed to properly fund the capital reserve account
23	and other anticipated projects, the requested interim rates will
24	produce more revenue than the current rates. The Division

believes that the interim rate should cover most of Willow

25

Creek's current monthly operating expenses until the Division completes its evaluation and the final rates are approved by the Commission.

However, the Division recommends that the final approved rates--that if the final approved rates are higher than the approved interim rates, the Company will be prohibited from collecting additional funds from its ratepayers to make up the shortfall.

If the final approved rates are lower than the approved interim rates, the Division recommends that Willow Creek be required to issue appropriate refunds by crediting its customers' accounts in the next billing cycle following the final Commission order.

And then we've talked about the chart, and we can make the necessary amendments of that.

- Q. We also discussed depreciation rates, or life expectancy, of water pumps. Is it true that the Commission has a depreciation schedule in R746-332, NARUC Account No. 311, of pumping equipment for an average life of 20 years?
- A. Yes, that's correct. Although there are a lot of other variables that need to be considered in that, and, you know, it could be significantly less than that as well.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. The standard--in the Division's memorandum, you referenced the prima facie showing that is the standard for the granting of interim rates.

Could you tell us just briefly what you looked at when you were doing this prima facie investigation?

A. Yes. I went over each line item in Willow Creek's budget that was provided in the rate increase request. And we discussed several of the expenses that were not included in the rate case, such as proper funding of the capital reserve accounts. One real issue of concern is that they are trying to fund the water company based on land sales and a subsequent donation by Mr. Veibell that is really precarious. It's just setting the company up for failure.

Theoretically, they should be able to run the water company and fund the capital reserve account based on the rates paid by the customers. And that's not happening in this case. Although based on the information they had in their budget and their understanding, I believe--they gave it their best shot--but it's quite low, I believe, based on what the final recommended rates would be.

- Q. And so in the next step, the Division will be looking more thoroughly at the revenues and expenses of the company and making suggestions regarding rates?
 - A. Oh, most certainly.
- Q. Even though the Division may end up recommending rates that are higher than those in the application, is it still the Division's testimony that the interim rates requested will benefit the company and are just,

1	reasonable, and in the public interest as interim rates?
2	A. Yes, right now. That's what they requested,
3	although we anticipate they will be higher.
4	MS. SCHMID: Mr. Long is now available for
5	questions from your Honor and the Company.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Applicant, it's your opportunity to ask any questions
8	you wish of Mr. Long.
9	MR. TAYLOR: I thinkwe had a meeting with him
10	and we covered most of it. So what he said, we feel
11	comfortable with. I don't think there's any questions necessary.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13	Mr. Long, I have a few questions for you, please.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY-THE COURT:
17	Q. Your assessment about the real estate sales
18	sustaining the company to a certain extent is well taken. And
19	given the testimony today that there are only a certain number
20	of lots remaining, doesn't that make the situation even that
21	much more dire?
22	A. Yes, it really does.
23	Q. And in your memo, third full paragraph, you state
24	that, "In the Division's initial limited review, it believes the final
25	rates will be higher." And then you go on and on and on.

1	And what I wanted to ask you is that, "The final
2	rates will be higher." The final rates that are requested by the
3	applicant, is that what you are referring to?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. So you anticipate at this point, although
6	your review is still under way, that when we come to the general
7	rate increase hearing, that you will be proposing something
8	based on your analysis and all of the considerations of putting
9	the company into good standing for the future, taking into
10	consideration growing their reserve and not having these real
11	estate sales attempt to sustain the company?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you, Mr. Long. I don't
14	have any further questions.
15	THE COURT: I do wish, however, to address the
16	issue of notice. And I believe that will be an issue for you, Ms.
17	Schmid.
18	MS. SCHMID: It will be. May we go off the record
19	for just one moment?
20	THE COURT: We may.
21	(A discussion was held off the record.)
22	MS. SCHMID: May we take a brief recess?
23	THE COURT: Yes. We're back on the record. We'll
24	be in recess for 15 minutes. Thank you.
25	(A break was taken from 10:15 a.m. to 10:32 a.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. We're back on the record.

Ms. Schmid.

MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would like to address the notice issue, as previously requested by your Honor.

The Water Company did provide notice in its March billing. However, unfortunately, because the date upon which the billing was sent, the time required for the Postal Service to deliver such notice, and the date of the hearing, as was mentioned, it is likely that the customers would not have received the written notice until, most likely, today.

The Company did discuss the rate increases with the rate board, and the rate board has discussed the increases with some customers, as evidenced by calls from customers that Mr. Veibell has received.

However, because of the juxtaposition of the mailing dates and the hearing date, the Division would like to recommend that, as was done in Water Pro, that the Company be given, say, ten days, which is shorter than Water Pro's, to supplement the docket with proof of notice to its customers of the proposed change. And then perhaps five days, or as soon thereafter as possible, the Commission, like it did in Water Pro, would hold a public hearing on the limited issue of the interim rates.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 Mr. Veibell, Mr. Taylor, do you have anything to 3 add? 4 MR. TAYLOR: We would be happy to comply with 5 that and take whatever steps are necessary to fulfill that. 6 THE COURT: Okay. There were aspects of the 7 notice that--assuming what you stated is correct--that did 8 comply with the notice requirement in the scheduling order. 9 However, as I understood the testimony, there was no reference 10 to the hearing. So even if the customers receive notice, they 11 would not have a meaningful opportunity to participate. 12 So what the Commission would like to do in this 13 instance is--and I can help you with making sure that the notice 14 is complete and such--under the circumstances, this is--Water 15 Pro was a general rate increase. This is an interim rate 16 increase, which we have a shorter time frame to work with. So 17 we want to expedite this as quickly as possible. 18 So within the next two days, the Commission is 19 ordering that Willow Creek Water Company make hand delivery 20 to each residence of notice of this matter, including that a 21 hearing will be held one week from today. It will be a public 22 witness hearing to allow customers to participate, should they 23 wish to do so. It will be similar to the public witness hearing 24 that we're having in the general rate increase. Okay. So today is the 20th. One week from today will be 25

1	the 27th. And we'll make the time for that hearing to be at
2	noon.
3	MR. TAYLOR: And that's going to be here?
4	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
5	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And the Commission also
7	orders that the applicant coordinate with the Division and that
8	you provide your notice to the Division.
9	MR. TAYLOR: Can I clarify the date one more
10	time?
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MR. TAYLOR: The date was the?
13	THE COURT: It will be one week from today.
14	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Today is the 20th. So it will be the
16	27th.
17	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So what the Commission
19	wishes the applicant to do is to hand deliver written notification.
20	And that can be posted on each residence or hand delivered to
21	someone inside, whichever you prefer. And that should provide
22	the kind of information that you provided already with respect to
23	the rates that you are proposing. And you might say something
24	to the extent that, "In addition to the information that was
25	already provided in your March 2013 invoice, please note that

1	the Commission will be holding a public witness hearing on
2	March 27, 2013, at 12 p.m. And should you wish to comment on
3	the interim rates, you may do so at that time."
4	The Commission will also issue an order, a notice
5	of hearing order. You should reference the docket number. And
6	if you have any questions whatsoever on what to include or how
7	to include it, please let me know. I'll be more than happy to help
8	you.
9	We do encourage parties to be represented by
10	counsel. We do understand in some circumstances that they
11	choose not to. And I'd be happy to help you.
12	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. We do have counsel for the
13	water company, but we choose not to just because of the cost.
14	THE COURT: Yes, sir. And we understand that.
15	We're sensitive to that issue.
16	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Sometimes counsel can be very
18	helpful. It's entirely up to you.
19	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. All right.
20	THE COURT: Patricia, did you have a question?
21	MS. SCHMID: I do. I was just reminded that the
22	standby customers, because they are not billed, would notthe
23	so-called standby customers, those who have not yet connected
24	because they are not billed, would not have received notice
25	when it went out recently. And I don't know if they are if they

1	are situated so the new notice could be hand delivered to them
2	or if it would have to be mailed.
3	MR. TAYLOR: It would have to be mailed. There
4	are a couple, like Cody. But there'sseveral of them are a long
5	ways away, Salt Lake City, different areas.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. TAYLOR: And soand do we have
8	do you have addresses for all the standby customers?
9	MR. VEIBELL: No, I don't.
10	MR. TAYLOR: We can research that, though. I can
11	get the addresses. That's not a problem. I know who they are.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. TAYLOR: I'd have to get them from the title
14	company.
15	THE COURT: All customers must absolutely be
16	notified. To the extent your existing customers are there within
17	the neighborhood, if they happen to own an extra lot and you
18	can give them notice that way because they're right there, that's
19	fine. You don't have to go to the trouble of sending them a
20	written notice. But here's the parameter: You need to do that
21	by no later than the end of the day Friday.
22	MR. TAYLOR: Right.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So if you're going to mail
24	something out, I would highly recommend that you do it earlier
25	rather than later

1	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
2	THE COURT:just because of the delay in
3	delivery.
4	And, if you would please make sure that you
5	provide a copy of the notice that you are giving to the Division.
6	And if you have any questions for me today about how to draft
7	thatI don't know if you have a copy of the original notice that
8	you've sent?
9	MR. TAYLOR: I don't have it with me, no.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. TAYLOR: I'll have towe will draft it. Do you
12	went me to send it to Mark to send to you, or do you want it sent
13	it directly for you to review?
14	THE COURT: Well, I'm happy to answer any
15	questions that you would have for me today. What we like you
16	to do is coordinate with the Division.
17	MR. TAYLOR: That's fine.
18	THE COURT: And the Commission will not be
19	making a determination on your interim rate request until after
20	that public witness hearing is held. So that's the reason why we
21	need to
22	MR. TAYLOR:expedite it.
23	THE COURT:expedite things and make sure that
24	should a customer wish to participate, that they have the
25	opportunity to do so.

	3
1	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. One point of clarification.
2	You said hand deliver, and she asked a question. I just want to
3	make sure we're okay with that.
4	The ones that are outside of our reach for hand
5	deliveryand we can mail those as early as tomorrow. That's not
6	a problem.
7	THE COURT: I would suggest that you do so.
8	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
9	THE COURT: I would suggest that you do so
10	simply because it will help eliminate the delay time. And if you
11	have a telephone number and you can call them and confirm
12	their mailing address, that would be great. Because when we get
13	here next week and we talk about notice, it's going to be
14	problematic if you report to me that you could not obtain
15	delivery because you didn't have addresses or the addresses
16	you had were obsolete.
17	MR. TAYLOR: No. We'll be able to take care of
18	that. We'll bring you a list and let you know how many went
19	through the mail and how many were hand delivered.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MS. SCHMID: Also at that public hearing, isas is
22	often the caseparticipation by the telephone allowed?
23	THE COURT: It will be, and that will be specified in
24	the order. We realize that this is a long distance for folks to

travel. It will be similar to what was drafted in this order already

25

1	for purposes of the public participation that's anticipated with
2	respect to the general rate increase.
3	MR. TAYLOR: So there will be a contact number in
4	that order?
5	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
6	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
7	MS. SCHMID: One further question. As it is a long
8	distance, would the applicant, if it wishes, also be permitted to
9	participate in the public hearing by telephone?
10	MR. TAYLOR: We can't. Alton can't hear.
11	THE COURT: I don't think that the Commission
12	would be receptive to that, simply because of the nature of the
13	testimony involved and putting the person under oath, being
14	subject to cross-examination. So I don't think the Commission
15	would be receptive to that.
16	MS. SCHMID: And I've also just been informed that
17	the applicant intends to come to that.
18	MR. TAYLOR: We do.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. TAYLOR: It's a hearing issue.
21	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I wasn't sure if you were
22	anticipating somebody
23	MR. TAYLOR: No.
24	THE COURT:not being able to come. But, okay.
25	Yes. Yes. I understood that they would be here, so.

1	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah, we'll definitely be here.
2	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else today?
4	And the gentleman in the back, did you wish to
5	participate?
6	MR. BODEN: No. I actually wanted to observe.
7	And so that's exactly what I've been able to do.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Well, welcome. I just wasn't
9	sure if, by chance, you were wishing to give some participation.
10	Thank you for being here today. I'll see you a week
11	from today at 12 noon in this very room.
12	And good luck with all that you need to do in the
13	meantime. And if I can help in any way, please let me know.
14	MR. TAYLOR: We will. Thank you so much.
15	MR. VEIBELL: Thank you very much.
16	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
17	(The matter concluded at 10:45 a.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of Utah)
4	SS.
5	County of Salt Lake)
6	
7	I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional
8	Reporter in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:
9	That the proceedings of said matter was reported
10	by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten
11	form;
12	That the same constitutes a true and correct
13	transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed;
14	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
15	associated with any of the parties of said cause of action, and
16	that I am not interested in the event thereof.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Mallonee, RPR, CSR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	